UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,047	06/19/2003	Louis A. Lippincott	884.898US1	6017
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			DANG, DUY M	
MINNEAPOLI	5, MIN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com request@slwip.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/600,047	LIPPINCOTT, LOUIS A.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Duy M. Dang	2624					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Duy M. Dang</u> .	(3)						
(2) Mr. Ronald Michael Reed (Reg. #59066).	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>03 August 2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.						
Claim(s) discussed:							
Identification of prior art discussed:							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\boxtimes$ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreed to correct the typographical error as follows: In the Notice of Allowance mailed 6/29/09, page 2, paragraph 2, line 4, "second accelerator" ought to be changed to "second processor".  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Duy M Dang/							